



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/728,893	12/08/2003	Akihiro Miyamoto	300.1139	9609
21171 7	590 05/13/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			UNDERWOOD, DONALD W	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YC	ORK AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3652	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Арр	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/7	28,893	MIYAMOTO, AKII	HIRO			
		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
		Dona	ald Underwood	3652				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commun ly	nication appears o	n the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	idress			
THE MAILII - Extensions of after SIX (6) I - If the period f - If NO period f - Failure to rep Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- or reply specified above is less than thirty (in or reply is specified above, the maximum so y within the set or extended period for replayed beived by the Office later than three months interm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir munication. 30) days, a reply within t tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause t	no event, however, may a repl ne statutory minimum of thirty (3 and will expire SIX (6) MONTH he application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered time IS from the mailing date of this c IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>04/27/05 (</i> v	oice message).					
· ·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since								
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4a) O 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☑ Claim	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-6 and 9 is/are rejected. ☒ Claim(s) 2,3,7 and 8 is/are objected to. 							
Application Pa	pers							
9)☐ The s	pecification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)□ The d	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applic	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Repla	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The o	ath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examine	er. Note the attached (Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	wledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation attached detailed Office action	or documents have or documents have of the priority do onal Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in App cuments have been re Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)					,			
1) Notice of Re	ferences Cited (PTO-892)			mmary (PTO-413)				
3) X Information I	oftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Mail Date <u>030305</u> .			Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/728,893 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

Supplemental Rejection

1. Receipt of applicant's representative's phone message of April 27, 2005 is acknowledged. This message set forth that claims 5-9 had been amended by a preliminary amendment which the Office action mailed 01/28/05 overlooked and requested an action on these amended claims. The following action honors this request.

- 2. It is noted that 4/2 and 4/3 in paragraph 6 of the Office action mailed 01/28/05 should have been 5/2 and 5/3.
- 3. The objection to the drawing set forth in paragraph 1 of the Office action mailed 01/28/05 is herein repeated.
- 4. In the specification, it appears "Verneuil" used throughout the detailed description should be changed to --Bernouli--. Also it appears "chucked" in line 26 of paragraph 0021 should be changed to --raised-- since the chucking is done in step 6 by nozzles 30. Finally, "plate-shaped member 20" in line 19 of paragraph 0025 should be -- adhesion area 24--.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,893

Art Unit: 3652

The instant claim is unclear since it is an independent claim but incorporates structure by referencing claim 1. Claim 9 should be rewritten to stand alone since it is an independent claim.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either Correnti et al or Buchmann et al.

Regarding claim 4, the recitation of a chucking plate does not define over the structure in either reference comprising the vacuum nozzles.

Regarding claim 6, note element 42 in Correnti and edge 24 in Buchmann. The edge in Buchmann creates a boundary for layer 22.

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Correnti in view of Kassir.

Correnti teaches using a Bernouli, then a vacuum and then turning off Bernouli.

Kassir teaches lowering pickup, then using a vacuum and then lifting.

The claim uses Bernouli, then lifting and then a vacuum.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,893

Art Unit: 3652

Since the vacuum would have to be activated before or after the lifting, it would

have been obvious to lower the pickup in Correnti as taught by Kassir, then activate

Bernouli and then either vacuum and lift or lift and vacuum since these are the only two

choices and one is the obvious reversal of the other.

11. Claims 2, 3, 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Underwood

at telephone number 571-272-6933.

Underwood/vs May 9, 2005

lenddw. Underwood Winald W. UNDERWOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4